

**CASEY KAPLAN**

525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM



MUSEUM OF CONTEMPORARY ART  
**CLEVELAND**

April 12, 2014

Since 2011, artist Kevin Beasley has developed a series of live audio performances, mixing found and recorded sounds into layered arrangements that address personal and collective histories. Beasley's newly commissioned work for MOCA Cleveland will be his most complex sound work to date, and will occupy both the Museum and the Cozad-Bates house, a historic Italianate mansion just across the street. The house is the only surviving pre-Civil War structure in University Circle, with the original section being built in 1853. The Cozad's were a prominent landowners and abolitionists, and the house was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1974, and designated as a Cleveland Landmark in 2006. Stripped down for renovation, it has been vacant for the past seven years, creating a unique, transitional environment loaded with a deep sense of the past, and ongoing change.

Titled *And in My Dream I Was Rolling on the Floor*, Beasley's sound work will consider the architecture, history and the condition of the bodies that move(d) through the house and the Museum. It will be presented as a sequence of four live, multi-channel audio performances at the house. Each 30-45 minute piece (*Civil Twilight I*, *Transit*, *Civil Twilight II*, and *Night*) is composed for a specific time, charting the shifting atmosphere over the course of a day. At the Museum, the entire scope of the compositions can be experienced in a daylong listening environment in the Gund Commons. Audience members will be immersed in a complex arrangement of sound that alters their perceptions of space and the passage of time, linking the oldest and newest building at the heart of a rapidly evolving community in Cleveland.

**CASEY KAPLAN**

525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

**STUDIO  
MUSEUM  
HARLEM**



Kevin Beasley

*As I rest under many skies, I hear my body escape me*, 2014

Two-channel sound installation

TRT 1:56:24 and 00:38:49

On view as part of: *When the Stars Begin to Fall, Imagination and the American South*, The Studio Museum in Harlem, New York, March 27 - June 29, 2014

Kevin Beasley creates sculptures and soundworks that resonate within the viewer's body

While the former are bound and contained, often tied with twine or spilling out of tape or cloth, the sound pieces retain a diffuseness that directly permeates our sensory experience. In *As I rest under many skies, I hear my body escape me* (2014) Beasley draws upon the phenomenological force of sound and its ability to index a time and place, even as it is abstracted. By embedding microphones in resin, clothing and other materials, Beasley creates field recordings on his family's property in Virginia that capture an event, such as a conversation, and the ambient soundscape surrounding it: a chair rocking, floorboards creaking, birds calling. In this way, he transports a document of a specific place to the gallery to explore how a fixed site can be dislocated. This mirrors the portability and influence of the South more broadly and reflects an attempt to explore how Southern tendencies, colloquialisms or ideas may exist in other places.

To complete the installation, Beasley has placed sound-canceling headphones throughout the galleries that play recordings of the small, nuanced noises of the Studio Museum gallery space recorded during his 2013-14 residency. As the visitor becomes immersed in the prerecorded sound of the space in which she stands, the surrounding noises are cancelled out, leaving an uncanny refraction of the sound of another space and time. Beasley describes this experience as "the self disappearing: which generates a simultaneous sense of relocation and dislocation."

Abbe Schriber

CASEY KAPLAN  
525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

WHITNEY  
BIENNIAL

Kevin Beasley



*Untitled*, 2011. Winter glove, latex, cast resin, peanuts, and polyurethane foam, 7 x 7 x 8 in. (17.8 x 20.3 cm)

Born in 1985  
Lynchburg, VA

Lives in  
New York, NY




*Untitled (Sack)*, 2012. Foam, resin, T-shirt, mattress cover, cotton, and thermal shirt, 51 x 23 16 in. (129.5 x 58.4 x 40.6 cm)

The white T-shirt-stretched taut over a transparent mattress cover, a thermal shirt, and polyurethane foam-bears an uncanny resemblance to its original function: the stitches and seams along the object's side would have once withheld an armpit from view. Stretched and removed from the body of its previous owner, the hole is one of *Untitled (Sack)*'s several allusions to the gaps and folds out of which the sculpture's contents seep through and reveal its holdings. The hardened resin that gives these extrusions their luster also makes the object look wet, as if it were recently produced or a point of entry or refusal. At 4 feet in length, *Untitled (Sack)* (2012), is anthropomorphic in scale, and its compressed form resembles a body bag. The shirt's threads construct a barrier between the unknown, bounded form beneath and the viewer; like the armpits it housed before, the shirt fails to fully withhold the found and used materials that it struggles to encase.

Kevin Beasley's sculptures shuffle between the thrown away and not yet formed, but they almost always relate in some way to abjection. Feminist cultural theorist Julia Kristeva has described the condition thus: "Apprehensive,

desire turns aside; sickened, it rejects...But simultaneously, just the same, that impetus, that spasm, that leap is drawn toward an elsewhere as tempting as it is condemned. Unflinching, like an inescapable boomerang, a vortex of summons and repulsion places the one haunted by it literally beside himself." 1 Between subject and object, the object is a frontier, a stray, an ambiguity: a state of abandon. Installed in direct relationship to their architectural container, often on the floor directly in the viewer's path, Beasley's objects makes use of- and continue to look like- biological matter, geological debris, and organic waste. Their near life size renders them anthropomorphic, yet these are disconcertingly truncated, compressed forms. They are at one nonhuman and human-like—distinct from the viewer's body, yet threatening and dissolving that boundary all the while.

To make sculptures such as *Untitled (Sack)*, Beasley fills found and discarded clothing and objects with polyurethane foam made by combining a resin polymer catalyst with a reactant. He has a brief, half-hour window to give his materials shape and form—manipulating, wrapping, and binding the object before the foam solidifies.



*Untitled*, 2012. Shower curtain, hair clips, twine, foam, and inkjet print, Dimensions variable

Beasley's intervention marks his objects: their form is an index of his very physical handling. His technique is itself a variation of the casting process- a basic tool of sculpture and industrial production alike. Beasley makes use of molds, including found objects such as shower caps and yoga balls, that allude to the body even if they cannot be recognized in their imprint. He also produces handmade molds whose shapes bear little representational function. His own body functions as a kind of mold as he wrestles and grapples with his materials. Although Beasley inserts himself into the chain of reproduction, constructing unique objects by hand and with his body, he does not relinquish references to industry and automation. Indeed, the chemicals he uses to fabricate his foam are industrially manufactured, produced, and sold. They fill the insides of any number of domestic products, like car seats and sofas; and as insulation, packaging, and soundproofing, they expedite the transportation of goods. Combining the industrial with the organic, the ready-made with the handmade, Beasley's foam not only mediates between the artist's laboring body and the imprints of his production, it makes visible the otherwise unseen links between

commercial circulation and a consumer corpus.

Beasley explores an expansive language of sculpture and its "capacity for investing in the body as a receiver and safe for our experiences,"<sup>2</sup> which he extends to time as well as space. The artist's sonic experiences, like his objects, invite their respective viewers and listener into relationships with one another. For his breakthrough *I Want My Spot Back* (2012), Beasley placed himself with three turntables in the center of the atrium of New York's Museum of Modern Art.<sup>3</sup> Over two days, he mixed and slowed down approximately forty a cappella tracks, all by deceased black male rappers prominent in the early to mid-1990s- the moment when hip-hop gained worldwide as a black-authored commodity.<sup>4</sup> Beasley improvised with extracts of the artists' voices, digitally manipulating their frequencies, volume, and equalization and playing the turntables by hand with his fingers. Miming the process by which producers make beats and DJs embellish and mix tracks, Beasley emphasized the sounds, as the subwoofers thundered throughout the building and their vibrations shook its architecture. Both elevating and reducing the original tracks, he transformed the music into a physical sensation.

The performance's phenomenological intervention relates structurally to Beasley's objects. Evoking ubiquity and invisibility, interiority and enclosure, they bear perceptible, contradictory pressures on the body. The title of *I Want My Spot Back* directly references the Notorious B.I.G.'s posthumously released song "Tonight" (1999), but the work's overtones also made larger claims to time and space, institutional and urban. Occurring one week before the then year-old Occupy movement would turn its attention to the Superstorm Sandy relief effort, the performance's title and physical intervention cited a tale of two cities that has kept pace with an inequitable America.

Beasley makes reference to particular and contingent bodies, eschewing illusion and pushing the materials he uses to the limit of their capacity. While his objects and time-based works evolve from experiences in specific places that happen to bear autobiographical relation to where he grew up, attended school, and currently lives (Lynchburg, Virginia; Detroit; New Haven, Connecticut; and New York), they refuse personal representation, save for the traces of their ongoing formation. Emerging from ready-made materials and everyday beats, the artist's materials are returned- assisted, remixed, and worked over- now rendered unfamiliar and ambiguous. Through a confusion of material and physical identity—corpse or trash, excess or lack-Beasley draws our attention to the kinds of dislocation, crisis, and doubt that habitually lie before us, quietly asking us to take notice even if we might again look away.



*I Want My Spot Back*, 2012 (installation view, *Some Sweet Day*, The Museum of Modern Art, New York, October 15-November 4, 2012)

1. Julia Kristeva, *Powers of Horror: An Essay on Abjection*, trans. Leon S. Roudiez (New York: Columbia University Press, 1982), 1.
2. Kevin Beasley, "WTF Is My Sculpture," Unpublished artist statement.
3. *I Want My Spot Back* took place during the dance exhibition *Some sweet day*, organized by American artist Ralph Lemon (b. 1952) and curator Jenny Schlenzka. The work was previously performed at Lemon's invitation in the East Village's Danspace Project as part of the finale for American choreographer Ishmael Houston-Jones' (b. 1951) platform of experimental dance by black dance makers, *Parallels*. See Ralph Lemon and Melissa Perel, "Gimme Shelter | Infiltrating the MoMA Atrium, Part 1: An Interview with Ralph Lemon on the Curation of 'Some sweet day,'" <http://blog.art21.org/2012/12/07/gimme-shelter-infiltrating-the-moma-atrium-part-1-an-interview-with-ralph-lemon-on-the-curation-of-some-sweet-day/#.UnHQ846hDzI> (accessed October 30, 2013); and Danielle Goldman, "Judson Now Writer-in-Residence Danielle Goldman on Conversations Without Walls: Reflections on *Some sweet day*," <http://www.danspaceproject.org/blog/?p=836> (accessed October 20, 2013).
4. An abbreviated list of the names and birth and death years of some of the artist whose songs Beasley appropriated demonstrates the truncation of their lives: Big L (1974-1999), Eazy E (1963-1995), Guru (1961-2010), the Notorious B.I.G. (1972-1997), Ol' Dirty Bastard (1968-2004), Tupac Shakur (1971-1996)



*Your Awaited Evening*, 2010. Bathrobe and latex, 12 x 7 x 8 in. (30.5 x 17.8 x 20.3 cm)

**CASEY KAPLAN**

525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

**Harold Ancart, Kevin Beasley, Mateo López**

February 27 – April 26

Opening Thursday, February 27, 6 – 8pm

Casey Kaplan is pleased to announce an exhibition of solo projects by Mateo López (b. 1978, Bogotá), Harold Ancart (b. 1980, Brussels), and Kevin Beasley (b. 1985, Lynchburg, Virginia).

**Mateo López's** work is an investigation of drawing itself, and the spaces between its mental and physical actions. Crucial to this is an examination of how drawing moves from line to form and object and a conflation of the boundaries between two and three-dimensions. Through the process of observation itself, López begins a dialog between two seemingly opposed motivations: the making of a realistic representation of his surroundings and an investigation of their implications.

López presents a new installation comprised of drawings, sculptures, as well as an animation, an element that is new to his practice. Following a single character – a drafting compass fashioned into a dancer, the protagonist himself presents a contradiction. He is a tool designed for rigidity and precision that instead makes loose, gestural movements. Tracing clockwise or counterclockwise, he begins to form a series of objects: a clock, a door, a globe, among others. Exhibited alongside the animation itself are these artifacts of the dancer's actions crafted meticulously out of paper, simultaneously suggesting a choreography that creates play and narrative and a drawing extending from the second to third dimension.

**Harold Ancart's** work recombines and repeats familiar tropes and forms in a series of permutations, functioning almost as a stutter. Ancart has previously created works in which lines of pure pigment traverse the walls of the exhibition space, as well as found images of pools and tropical landscapes that have been sullied with burn marks, all sharing a sense of immediacy in their creation – the laying of a mark or a gesture.

Ancart continues his previous explorations in a series of large-scale drawings titled "Ultra Deep Fried". Pre-cut forms of vegetation are layered onto paper as he creates textural, black and white backgrounds with oil stick. It is traces of their immediacy that are consistent throughout, vestiges of the lush color layered into the negative vegetal spaces are found across the surface. For the first time, Ancart arranges these works as a set, with their compositions sprawling across four panels. Their vibrant foliage and color splashes imply a distance that suggests a fascination with another place. This sense of longing recurs throughout Ancart's work, finding a parallel in a tension specific to drawing itself – the simultaneous desire to create and communicate a future while acting in relation to the past; a process through which motivations and intentions are continuously reversed. Additionally, he presents two sculptures immediately reminiscent of furniture, with a proportion and surface that denies function.

**Kevin Beasley's** work utilizes media including sculpture, photography, sound, and performance to navigate notions of origin and identity. Familiar objects, personal effects and sound elements from various sources are manipulated, distorted, and mixed, acts of removal from their original context that simultaneously investigate their histories. Through this process, they are broken into minutiae and partial forms and also expanded – gaining resonance and new meaning.

For the exhibition, Beasley has sourced approximately 4000 cassette tapes from family, friends, record stores and the Internet. Ranging from commercially sold audiobooks, popular music, independent labels, mixtapes, and home recordings, they have been cut and spliced together to create 52 reels, holding approximately 40 hours

of sound and music each. These reels exist as mixes – combinations of various sounds by a number of authors that play constantly during the gallery’s opening hours, corresponding to a cycle of human consistency. Spanning intentions, genres, and decades, the resultant sound demonstrates a complex relationship with the history of the work’s materials. Their obsolescence at the same time triggers a series of familiarities and emotional connections.

Played through a reel-to-reel player, an incompatible device, both sides of each tape are heard at once. Interrupting even the most familiar of referents is a layered, alternate track (albeit played in reverse), an ambiguous and sometimes unintelligible sound that asserts itself as an unknown entity. The work hinges on the presence of a listener yet the exhibition itself represents only a partial span of its length with the same sound never played twice. Over the course of the exhibition, performances by Beasley and invited guests will occur in the space, with a live recording made on the reels. Replacing the previous recordings, these newly introduced elements contribute to the ever-evolving nature of the work and its experience; it is growing and deteriorating at the same time.

Mateo López was recently a participant in the Rolex Mentor-Protégé program alongside William Kentridge. In 2013, his work, *A Trip from Here to There*, was the starting point for an exhibition of the same title at the Museum of Modern Art, New York. Recent solo exhibitions include *Travesía* Cuatro Gallery, Madrid, 2013, Casas Riegner Gallery, Bogotá, 2012, Galeria Luisa Strina, São Paulo, 2011 and *Gasworks*, London, 2010. Group exhibitions include: Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, 2013, The Art Gallery of York University, Toronto, 2013, The Drawing Room, London, 2012 and the 8th Bienal do Mercosul, Porto Alegre, 2011.

Harold Ancart has recently had solo exhibitions at Veneklasen Werner, Berlin, 2014, *Clearing*, Brooklyn, 2013, and Xavier Hufkens, Brussels, 2013. Additionally, Ancart has participated in group exhibitions at the Irish Museum of Modern Art, Dublin, 2013, Palais de Toyko, Paris, 2013, WIELS / Contemporary Art Centre, Brussels, 2012 and Sculpture Center, Queens, 2011.

Kevin Beasley is currently an artist in residence at the Studio Museum in Harlem. He will participate in the 2014 Whitney Biennial, curated by Anthony Elms, Michelle Grabner and Stuart Comer, opening March 7. His work was included the 2013 Queens International as well as group exhibitions at Museum of Contemporary Art, Cleveland, 2013, and The Studio Museum in Harlem, New York, 2012. His work “I Want My Spot Back” was performed at the Museum of Modern Art, New York in 2012 as part of Ralph Lemon’s “Some Sweet Day.”

For further information, please contact Loring Randolph, [loring@caseykaplangallery.com](mailto:loring@caseykaplangallery.com)

GALLERY HOURS: TUESDAY – SATURDAY, 10:00AM – 6:00PM



# Interview

## Kevin BEASLEY

The 28-year-old, Virginia-born Kevin Beasley is an artist-in-residence at the Studio Museum in Harlem. One of the requirements of the year-long program is that he work in his assigned studio space for a minimum of 20 hours a week—a number far below his usual, self-imposed quota. Over the course of his residency, Beasley's temporary space has become a tossed salad of rubber, resin, boxes of cassette tapes, and antique audio equipment, materials that correspond to the two fundamental threads of his recent work: sculpture and sound.

As a sculptor, Beasley tends to make artifacts of the culture that surrounds him. He ties old, shredded T-shirts into compact hundles; he smears tar; he pours liquid foam makeshift molds, fashioned from shoes, to arrive at elegant, vase-like forms. Beasley carries these collected pieces—a trash-can liner, for example—around for years until they're worn from age and handling. For a short time, he forwent having

a physical studio space and making sculpture, but his practice eventually returned to his accretion of art materials including a cumbersome cotton-gin motor that he hauled from Alabama—all of which now fill a storage unit he rents in Connecticut. "It's really hard for me to totally abandon something," he says, "unless it's completely spent. And by then it's probably a sculpture." Beasley is also a longtime musician—most often a drummer—and his sound art emerged from a quasi-sculptural interest in the physical materiality of analog tape and reel-to-reel players. Last year he performed in MoMA's atrium as a kind of DJ, remixing and screwing a cappella tracks by deceased rappers (including Guru, ODB, Eazy-E, Biggie Smalls) into a menacing sonic soup he titled *I Want My Spot Back*.

For the Biennial, Beasley plans to conflate his two practices, creating sculptures from concrete and fabric each with a microphone buried within, so as to capture what he calls the

object's "internal architecture." As of now, the idea is that, over a week, the objects will be scattered through the Whitney's ground-floor gallery, and the microphones will remain live, continually amplifying the room's vibrations through haunting delays and humming reverbs. "It's supposed to just echo presence in that building," Beasley explains, "so that when people are there, they're listening to a filtered version of their own presence in the space." Three times during his installation, the artist will step into the space and perform—literally inserting himself into the work, which makes sense for a man who is in a near-constant state of production. "Making art is like shaking something out of your system," he says. "It's like the flu. You have all these symptoms, and some go away and some come back. For me, making work is survival, and afterward, I feel good."

—ROSS SIMONINI

CASEY KAPLAN  
525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

# NEW YORK

Last Biennial on Madison  
by Jerry Saltz

Feb.24-March 9, 2014



CASEY KAPLAN  
525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

# The New York Times

## Racial Redefinition in Progress 'Fore' at Studio Museum in Harlem

By HOLLAND COTTER  
Published: November 29, 2012

In 2001 the Studio Museum in Harlem opened a group exhibition called "Freestyle," the first in what would be a series intended to introduce freshly minted African-American talent. And in the catalog for that show the curator, Thelma Golden, dropped a neat little cultural bomb. She referred to the group of artists she'd chosen, most of them then in their 20s, as "post-black."

Even some young artists to whom it was applied weren't quite clear about what to do with it. Overnight the dynamics of contemporary art changed.

Although little noted in the midst of the uproar at the time, Ms. Golden herself held the term "post-black" at a critical distance, floating it out as a proposition rather than advancing it as a polemic. For her it meant artists who were adamant about not being confined to the category of "black," though, as she wrote, "their work was deeply interested in redefining complex notions of blackness. Post-black," she added with a wry twist, "was the new black."

More than a decade later it still is, to judge by the fourth and latest of the museum's new-generation shows, this one titled "Fore," organized by three young staff curators, Lauren Haynes, Naima J. Keith and Thomas J. Lax. Like its predecessors it keeps racial politics alive but discreet and covers the waterfront in terms of mediums, which it samples and mixes with turntablist flair.

In line with current New York trends, painting gets major attention. Three smallish portraits by Jennifer Packer (born 1985; Yale M.F.A. 2012) of art-school friends kick things off. They're traditional looking and beautiful, their suave brushwork finessed with a palette knife. Portraits by another artist, Toyin Odutola, who was born in Nigeria and now lives in Los Angeles, are more offbeat and generate interesting ideas. Ms. Odutola makes her sitters so black that their forms read like solid, featureless silhouettes from across a room. Only up close do you see that their eyes are wide open, and their skin is a porous weave of ropy ink lines, with rainbow color glinting through like light from behind.

Another Los Angeles artist, Kenyatta A. C. Hinkle, uses images from colonial-era postcards, made for European eyes, to make a point about the vulnerability of the body when seen through a racial lens. In her paint-altered version of the original cards, nude and seminude "native" women from West Africa are under assault from swarming lines of white pigment that bring to mind flames, microbes and spermatozoa.

Then the figure vanishes. It's just a shadowy smudge on an abstract gold field in a diptych by Noah Davis, and absent altogether in abstract paintings by Kianja Strobert, Sienna Shields and Brenna Youngblood.

Ms. Youngblood looks particularly impressive here. She has, however temporarily, exchanged her complicated, object-laden painting mode of a few years ago for a near-Minimalist austerity. But nothing she does is simple. One 2012 picture in the show consists primarily of a plain white unmarked panel, yet the addition of a small scrap of stuck-on signage keeps her art in painting-plus-something-else terrain.

And "something else" in this show covers a lot of ground. What conventional formal category, or categories, can describe Harold Mendez's filmy, soot-black Veronica veils made from dryer sheets, ink and fabric softener? Or Cullen Washington Jr.'s "Caped Crusader," with its collaged black baby superhero anchored to the floor by a T-Mobile sign? Or Eric Nathaniel Mack's "Honey Hollow," consisting of nothing more than a paint-brushed blanket hanging loose on the wall and stirred by the breeze from a nearby fan?

Unprepossessing to the eye, it does a lot of conceptual hard work, mashing together the essences of painting, sculpture and kinetic installation. Depending on who's looking, the piece is either barely there, or a sly celebration of material movement in space, of performance art without bodies.

Performance art has a significant place in "Fore," as it does in the local art world these days, with blackness weaving in and out of it. It's hard to locate in a choreographically executed wall drawing by Taisha Paggett, but forms the troubled heart of a two-channel video by Nicole Miller.

On one screen Ms. Miller appears, coached by a white ballet instructor in a pristine studio as she practices classical barre exercises she learned as a child. On the other screen a group of young black woman, with men hovering, rehearse a sexually explicit form of Caribbean popular dance called daggering in a murky Brooklyn nightclub. The piece asks: Is there a connection between the two scenes? Yes. And what's the connection? No answer.

Quite different in spirit, though in its way no less inquiring, is a video called "Reifying Desire: Model It," by the speedily emerging young artist Jacoby Satterwhite. The piece was made for the show and connects whole cultural worlds.

Mr. Satterwhite is its star, and a natural one. Resplendent in spandex suits and sequined wraps, he vogues up a storm in one digitally enhanced setting after another. But the dance sequences are just one part of an exercise in multimedia maximalism that encompasses fashion, Dada, the Home Shopping Network, Sun Ra, CVS pharmacy chic and highly specialized household appliances designed by Patricia Satterwhite — the artist's mother and collaborator — who calls on art to keep schizophrenia at bay.

Mr. Satterwhite will be doing his complex thing, live, in a two-part performance art program that the museum will roll out in December and February, events that give several other artists a chance to extend their range beyond what the galleries can hold.

Steffani Jemison — one of the museum's 2012-13 artists in residence along with Ms. Packer and Mr. Washington — will present a text piece based on urban street fiction of a kind sold in the neighborhood around the museum. The polymathic artist named Narcissister will offer staged equivalents of her gender-bending photo-collages in the show. Jamal Cyrus, from Houston, will deep-fry a tenor saxophone. And Kevin Beasley, whose faintly sinister, bundle-like sculptures sit on the floor here and there, will introduce an immersive sound environment, to which no one will be admitted late and from which no one will be allowed to leave early.

An environment of a different kind, Abigail DeVille's "Haarlem Tower of Babel," is already in place in the museum's open-air courtyard. Assembled by Ms. DeVille from locally scavenged objects and materials (shopping carts, bottles, trash bags) and memorabilia from her grandmother's Bronx apartment, the piece speaks of life on the street, generational bonds, confusion, dispossession and not-having as a chronic, punishing but toughening condition.

These were themes often tackled by African-American artists in the past, including by some of those who founded the Studio Museum in Harlem in the 1960s. And the themes remain relevant now, when the country is coming out of a presidential election shot through with racism, when African-American citizens are being hit disproportionately by a brutal economy, and when the art world, despite the multicultural surges of the recent past, still has scant room for black artists, black anything.

In the circumstances post-black feels like an iffy and unrealistic proposition. Yet it can work. Without identifying itself as "black art," Ms. DeVille's installation brings hard, pertinent existential politics into the museum. And so, in less monumental ways, does other art in "Fore," simply by bearing the clear, proud influence of older artists, living and gone, black and not. Romare Bearden and Robert Rauschenberg are among them. So are David Hammons and the other artists in "Now Dig This! Art and Black Los Angeles 1960-1980" at MoMA PS1. Some of the artists took part in the Studio Museum's three previous important post-black shows.

The young artists in "Fore" take something from all of these forebears but do something to and with it: reshape it, update it, understate it; conceptualize it, magnify or shrink it; and, increasingly it seems, cut it loose from labels. The point is that the something is always there, ready to be passed on, being passed on, no "post" about it.

"Fore" continues through March 10 at the Studio Museum in Harlem, 144 West 125th Street; (212) 864-4500, [studiomuseum.org](http://studiomuseum.org).

**CASEY KAPLAN**

525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM



Mousse Publishing



I Want My Spot Back, at The Museum of Modern Art, October 2012.  
Kevin Beasley, *Some Sweet Day*, 2012.

## SHAKING THE MUSEUM

by Jenny Schlenzka

One year ago Kevin Beasley shook up the Museum of Modern Art in New York—literally. Just out of grad school the artist presented his sound performance, *I Want My Spot Back*, for which he processed voices of dead Hip Hop rappers and transformed them into bloodcurdling wails blasting through the entire Museum's Atrium. For this interview Beasley returned to the space with one of the curators of the performance, Jenny Schlenzka, to reflect on what happened.

Jenny Schlenzka: We are standing in the MoMA Atrium, the scene of the crime, so to speak, where you...

Kevin Beasley: Where the blood was spilt.

JS: Yes, where you performed *I Want My Spot Back* almost exactly

one year ago. How would you describe the piece to someone who wasn't there?

KB: I performed as part of Ralph Lemon's, *Some Sweet Day* series, which he had conceived for the MoMA Atrium. The performance consisted of me mixing "acapellas" from early-to-mid-Nineties deceased rappers. It was a project I'd been working on for probably about a year and it kind of culminated here—in its best iteration—because it was a real physical exploration, even in the way I was trying to gather the music or gather the sounds, and what I was thinking about in terms of where the sort of body is in these voices and these spaces. Doing it in this space allowed for all of that to be extrapolated because of its cavernousness. It was kind of crazy, I remember Ralph saying, when we were walking to do the first performance, Ralph was like, "I'm scared, man." [laughs]

JS: What was so scary about it?

KB: The fact that it was so loud and so obtrusive. From an earlier

iteration, I knew people were really struggling with the aggressive nature of the tracks. They were all a cappella versions, but the way I had expanded and extracted the frequencies and the different layers within those vocals made it very powerful. In order to hear and feel it that way, it just needed to be amplified that much and the Atrium is a transition space, people are passing through. The Edvard Munch Scream had arrived as a special loan in the Painting and Sculpture Galleries that week, so people were mainly coming for that—not expecting this very overt sound from which they couldn't escape.

JS: I remember the sound penetrating everything; my body, the space, the walls, the adjacent galleries, the windows and skylights were shaking. It was very overwhelming. Were you aware of the audience reactions during the performance?

KB: There was constant movement happening, that I caught in my peripheral vision. Once, towards the end, I noticed that the group of people had really thinned out. People were kind of like, “okay, I've had enough” [laughs]. I'm also in the center of it, it's really visceral for me too, but I was working to try to maintain that feeling for myself as it was happening, because I'm trying to reinvent it as it happens.

JS: With the live mixing?

KB: Yes, there is a lot of pre-production in this piece, a lot of manipulation and moving frequencies and things around. But the live performance is really the opportunity for me to expand and dig deeper, changing the pitches, controlling things with my hands—I didn't have a given set list. There was the first track which was this Biggie interview and then there are a couple parts that I kind of wanted to do something with. In Tupac's Smile there's a part where Scarface says, “And now a moment of silence, let us pray.” From that moment on, I kind of reel in all the other sounds and honor whatever is in the track. But it's always evolving, I don't know when that's going to come and if and how it's going to happen, what's going to be layered. It's like being a club DJ, you have your tracks, but when you are mixing them you have to react and respond to the moment.

JS: How did you start making the work?

KB: Initially, it came from this interest in speaker building. I started DJing and it made me get back into Hip Hop; like Biggie, Gang Starr, Big L, and Tupac, something I grew up with. I was very interested in actually just playing with it (Hip Hop) and it made so much sense to me, because at the time I was making objects; to me it was beyond just dance or meeting a crowd, it was another tool that I had in my studio and I was really interested in really thinking about: like what is my relationship to this music? How has it shaped me? I think that that sort of questions made me say, “well, maybe I should just go back to it in a way, and try to explore what it was in the music that I was so drawn to.” I was very into P Diddy, he did a lot of really amazing stuff in terms of production, obviously the whole Death Row thing was really—the way like gangster rap on the West Coast was just very sort of definitive and broke out. I then said, “okay, what is the most sort of human bodily thing in all of these tracks?” And that's their voices, their presence. So I just started searching for a cappella tracks and I got really interested in listening to the breathing in between, like Biggie was a heavy breather you know [laughs].

JS: You were interested in the breathing?

KB: [laughs] I felt, “I should really listen to that and see if I can extract the low frequencies from just his breathing”; and then I

started to slow the tracks down. (In the past) I had a drum teacher who told me, “You know, if you want to become a better drummer, then you should play everything really slowly to understand time, to understand the feel of something.” I guess that was just kind of a natural thing for me, to slow the music and these voices down and then it gets into the actual recording devices, into the production, the timbre and the quality of the voice, the quality of the instruments being used to record. That also interested me, because of the high production values of this rap from the early-to-mid-Nineties—the golden age of rap.

JS: In anticipation of the series, we talked a lot with Ralph Lemon about the Atrium as the big empty white cube at the heart of the temple of modern art and his idea of infiltrating it with what he calls “blackness.” He never explicitly said so, but I always understood that as a political act in itself. Did it feel like that to you?

KB: It wasn't initially important for me, when I was first developing the work, but bringing it here it was so blatant and obvious to me that [laughs] – I thought “alright, so I have to deal with that.”

JS: Deal with what?

KB: With the fact that the intention of putting me here was to draw some type of attention to this idea of “blackness” and where it exists inside of art, inside of culture, how we sort of define it, how it moves, how it shapes and how it can change, and also how people respond to it. Even though it's not so much about—ha! This is tough—it's not so much about race as much as about a kind of, as Ralph said, “a kind of acting out” or maybe a sort of...

JS: A sort of aesthetics?

KB: Aesthetics, yeah, and it became very apparent: there is a lot of friction. I'm playing alterations of rap music that are very aggressive, and very violent at MoMA, which is—especially in the Atrium—a very sterilized environment. The first time we actually came to this space to look at it, one of the first things Ralph said was “this is your audience.” I paused, I looked around, and very few people were speaking English, and there were very few black people walking around.

JS: You mentioned earlier that Ralph told you he was scared, right before the first performance. I remember him being very worried about the piece being too loud and aggressive, that it would get shut down. Did you intend the work as an attack?

KB: That wasn't the intention. For me it was a matter of necessity. During the rehearsal we were asking for more speakers, to make sure there were no pockets where people could escape, because that kind of immersion could allow people to hear and feel what I was trying to do, like exploring something within that space.

JS: But some people did perceive it as an aggression. We received a lot of complaint notes from visitors that day.

KB: The most angry letter said, “Never let Kevin Beasley in the building ever again, Jesus Christ people!” [both laugh] You know, when I first saw them I thought, “where is the positive letters?”

JS: Were you disappointed?

KB: I wish I could have answered, “you should spend more time with it”; or “maybe we should talk”; or “you should hear it again”; or something. I feel that there is something missed in those letters. I can't expect everyone to feel the same way or to even have

the same response. The negative responses come from people's own personal histories. I'm still trying to chew on the kind of letters where people were saying like, "it ruined my experience of the rest of the museum," because I think that gets deeper into what their expectations are of the museum, and how they view this space, and how the structure of the space helps to perpetuate that.

JS: Most of the other work you have been making manifests itself in sculptures. How does sound play into that?

KB: Yes, I am mainly doing sculptures, but sound for me is just as physical, tactile and experiential as any other material, and there is also an equal amount of play, if not more. With this piece, sound was being translated into another kind of material and then came back out through this very physical experience; through dancing, through reverberations in the floor and the wall. I find this very interesting because it's another material I can use to help understand myself and my environment: where am I located, where are other people located in relationship to me? It helps me bridge social aspects, like "how can I understand someone else through this kind of material?" and "how can they understand me through it?" For me, this gets into art making in general.



Exhibition view, *I Want My Spot Back*, at The Museum of Modern Art, October 2012, Kevin Beasley, *Some Sweet Day*, 2012.

CASEY KAPLAN  
525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

STUDIO  
MUSEUM  
HARLEM

## Discrepant Origins: Political Starts in Sculpture and Sound

By Adrienne Edwards

Published in *Fore*, Studio Museum in Harlem, 2012

Kevin Beasley practices a kind of auto-ethnography, a mining of personal effects and affects through a range of artistic disciplines, from sculpture to live performance to photography, in search of a nuanced and individuated understanding of blackness at this moment. Beasley's sculptures and installations include unidentifiable fragments of his own undergarments, the inner soles of his shoes, his wave caps, his shower curtain, his toothbrush, peanuts given to him by his father, his brother's wisdom teeth, his winter gloves and more. These materials are rendered illegible through the physical, violent and precarious process through which he combines them with polyurethane foam, cast plastic, molded rags, silicone, epoxy, rubber and twine. The resultant works seem to be characteristically possessed with an inner, secret life bestowed on them through their obscurity, and by virtue of the juxtaposition of their parts.

Beasley often presents his works below eye level, and frequently on the floor, as a strategic positioning that both differently orients viewers and activates their engagement. Through the disavowal of typical visual perspective for art installations, Beasley transforms viewers into participants, as each must spatially and temporally negotiate a relationship to the work. Beasley also emphasizes that art in situ exists within a structure (a museum, with its functional and philosophical parameters), and that that structure is a composite of larger social structures (culture and the normative ways of being, and the attendant understandings and expectations of the roles of artists and museums). This ideological layering of considerations is about more than raising important questions concerning how art is displayed—these systems of display also have profound political, social and cultural implications. Who determines what art is worthy and thus elevated to be exhibited in museums? And what is the transformative potential of the artist and the work of art, if there can be any?

A compelling example of Beasley's sensibilities is *Untitled* (2011), a composite work comprised of a fish aquarium trimmed with faux wood paneling, filled less than halfway with a crystalline marine-blue liquid (fashioned from his use of mouthwash) and inhabited by a floating orb. Situated on a gallery floor, the aquarium is a ready-made aquatic ecosystem that is simultaneously alien and familiar. In this altered context Beasley inserts a primordial sea creature. From above, viewer/participants see the black shiny surface of a wave cap encrusted with flecks of detritus clustered together, as if in an evolutionary process of becoming. As viewer/participants navigate the work, a Day-Glo green bulge emerges, jutting from the base of the drifting creature, from which mangled drinking straws, manipulated at their angle-adjusted bellows, coalesce.

For Beasley, minutiae, sedimentation, fragmentation and temporal suspension are techniques and conceptual apparatuses for his performances as well. For a live performance that was part of *An All Day Event. The End.*—a durational, conceptual, interdisciplinary installation created by Ralph Lemon (b. 1952) and staged at Danspace Project on March 31, 2012—



Beasley presented *I Want My Spot Back* (2012), a sound piece illustrative of his technique of appropriation. He sampled hip-hop anthems using technology that analyzes sound and synthesizes its information into images. Beasley came of age at a moment when hip-hop gained worldwide recognition, along with the economic gains that accompanied it—the age of the commodity who speaks over dubbed beats.<sup>1</sup> Beasley extracted from the captured images of hip-hop recordings a series of single frequencies, notes or keys that are visually represented as hundreds, even thousands, of dashes. These microscopic extractions and condensations are extremely reduced, isolated elements of sound waves, played at a near deafening volume, and then conferred a physicality that rendered an overwhelming somatic experience to his performance. For the event, Beasley built his own subwoofers and performed on the floor (similar to his politics of display). One of the most generative aspects of Beasley's sound piece is that it is a distortingly simple manipulation of air. Sound is nothing more and nothing less than the movement of a medium—in this case, air. The paradoxically ephemeral yet physical character of the sound piece is a natural extension of Beasley's works, as his interest is in how these stretched, condensed and reconstituted sonic elements interface and reverberate in a newly composed assemblage—the hyper-distillation of music that strips it of all of its leaden capitalistic and materialistic references, and reduces it to an essence that is anti-rhythmic and sheer affect.

Beasley is proposing a different mode of corporeality. For the artist, there is an insistence on the most basic yet complicated aspects of being—what we know to be present is relative to our abilities to conceive it. Because we are unable to experience something or perceive it with our senses does not mean it is not there, or that its being there is, in fact, so vital and foundational to everything that follows. While a significant amount of his materials are personal, their inclusion does not posit an autobiographical narrative, and they are not there to signify or testify to his particular lived experience. Rather, they indicate the importance of origin for Beasley as that which is always already suspect, and that which he is constantly negotiating. Where do these things come from? To whom do they belong? What might the identity of this artist be, does it matter and what does it mean for the work? The works' overwhelming specificity is elusive yet ever-present. Beasley's works exist in an entirely different realm in which we viewers/participants are asked to honor, trust and acknowledge aspects of them that are presenced but not necessarily revealed. This presence is meaningful as a way of understanding that which develops and reveals itself over time.

1. For full explication of the commodity who speaks, see Jacques Derrida, *Specters of Marx: The State of Debt, the Work of Mourning, and the New International* (New York: Routledge, 1994), and Fred Moten, *In the Break: The Aesthetics of the Black Radical Tradition* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2003).

CASEY KAPLAN  
525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

# Cleopatra's

Immediate Release:

...all different: for I do, I suppose, partake of multitude

Kevin Beasley

Curated by Cleopatra's

June 14 – 30, 2013 Performance: June 22, 7:30pm

When one strikes a bell there are several tones that prevail, yet the hum tone is one that lies an octave below the strike tone, the resonance being that of multiple tones within one note/or tone of an instrument. A layering that happens at the time of the actual singular act where a multitude is always produced. So what happens when “we” recognize the initial parts as a multitude and seek to expand that multitude exponentially?

...all different: for I do, I suppose, partake of multitude is an exhibition by Kevin Beasley, comprised of two parts: a site specific installation made from 30 varying wind chimes and a performance building live feed from the installation and pre-recorded sound bites.

Kevin Beasley (b. 1985, Lynchburg, VA) received his BFA from the College for Creative Studies, Detroit and his MFA in Sculpture from Yale University in 2012. He has exhibited nationally with *The Butcher's Daughter*, Detroit and in group shows in Los Angeles, throughout Michigan, and New York. Beasley's performances were featured during *Some Sweet Day* at the Museum of Modern Art, New York and *Danspace Projects*, New York. Beasley's work was also featured in *Fore* at the Studio Museum in Harlem.

CASEY KAPLAN  
525 WEST 21ST STREET  
NEW YORK NY 10011  
TEL +1 212 645 7335  
FAX +1 212 645 7835  
WWW.CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM  
INFO@CASEYKAPLANGALLERY.COM

# Cleopatra's

## Cleopatra's and Kevin Beasley at Interstate Projects

June 25, 2013

All Different: For I do, I suppose, take part in multitude.

Cleopatra's: Let's begin with the title for this project. Where does it come from?

Kevin Beasley: It's a quote that comes from a book that is comprised of all these audio essays by Christoph Cox and from a section written by DJ Spooky, who was quoting Plato- It's one of Plato's dialogues, Parmenides- It's a section out of that where he is talking about form, and multiplicity and the body.

C: Maybe we should begin with our invitation from Tom Weinrich for this project? We were offered the courtyard and with that in mind, we thought about how to activate the space. It seemed appropriate to integrate some kind of sound installation so we met with Kevin for inspiration. Kevin, how did you decide to hang 30 wind chimes in the Interstate Projects courtyard?

KB: I think my initial impression was thinking about a space and a duration of time and the duration is potentially longer than 15 minutes or 45 minutes and it's something that would be or could possibly be on view. All of those variables opened up potentiality for me because it was nothing I would ordinarily think about: doing an outdoor piece that is situated in a semi-public, semi-private, residential, industrial, commercial gallery of a show organized by a group of curators who are interested in exhibiting work; all of that meshed together.

I thought about the possibility of there being multiple instances of 'something' that can be generated out of one initial act. That sort of leads back to the title and also that prompt. And I think that a bell delineates this idea in that out of so many different instruments it is often times multiplied in tones, like in the case of wind chimes. However, the bell remains a singular thing. You wouldn't have that with a trumpet or any kind of string instrument. Anything percussive has that ability. Continuing that thought about multiplicity, the bell, even in its makeup (as in strike-tones) you have these different resonant points that happen. When you hear a bell, you hear a very sharp noise that is a certain note. That noise or sound is multilayered with several different keys and tones in just one bell. You get partials, but it is all part of one thing. I was very much interested in that, it sort of paralleled what's happening with Cleopatra's (four women working under one moniker) and what's happening in this courtyard with Interstate Projects and the context of the show inviting numerous curators and spaces and people who are all brought to one place.

C: So many more points of contact than an individual show.

KB: Yes, that became really interesting to me because I found a parallel in wind chimes, in the way they're handled and sound speaking.

C: Not to demystify the wind chime too much, but how did you come to a wind chime versus a series of bells that you could string up?

KB: Because the wind chimes also possess this other element of uncontrollability or the of possibility of it

being arrested and taken from me or taken away from people. For example, during the opening everyone was here and there was no sound (from the chimes). They were just very still.

KB: Maybe I'm assuming but I feel like there is a parallel in this and how Cleopatra's is giving up your space for this particular project. Pushing it further and thinking about how you're not in total control of the gallery or the space. Between curators and artists, there is always that control thing happening. As the work developed, one thing that became interesting for me was having this sort of variable that would just produce (manifest) something completely on its own but would always be a part of the initial conversation.

C: Was the idea of chance built into the project? Did you have expectations one way or the other?

KB: Yeah, it is built in, because I'm asking the wind to perform for me. Also, for these things to not come down; for the weather to conduct, the conditions and the people to activate the objects. That was something that I hoped - that it would be perfect.

C: There was a moment where we were installing and we asked "what happens if the whole thing just collapses?", and you said "well then the whole thing collapses". The wind chimes, could break, each time I have been here, it's been different; The one you're sitting under was mangled during the performance and has now kind of gotten itself together. It's been kind of amazing to see the piece transition. We keep referencing the performance and I think there is something important about change and installation. Do you think you could relay some thoughts surrounding the performance from it's inception? For example, the placement of the event in the middle of the exhibition period.

KB: I think I understood that the performance was in some way a focal point. Initially, the performance was the crux of the whole thing. It was a point where something happens - like some type of explosion. This is something that I keep wanting to get into: the possibility of people revisiting and listening, and their listening being shaped by the revisit. There's the space and there are these events that have taken place. If someone comes for the performance, it will be totally different. People will say 'this is completely different

than what I saw before' and not only because its on a different day and different time. The fact that there is a performance and there wasn't a performance before... I wanted to highlight the ideas of change in condition as something that drives our perception of time, place, and objects. There was a kind of shift that happened post event, a rupture that had potential to re-generate an alternative awareness of the installation.

C: Can you explain the request you made for audio files from the four curators (Cleopatra's)?

KB: By the time I asked you all for audio files, we had already decided that there would be wind chimes installed, which, to me, seemed like a kind of simple beginning or premise. Everyone knew what the installation was, so thinking about how wind chimes would be present, and thinking about that object and that sound and what I do, taking sound and making objects or art or experience out of them, then - what would you send me if I just asked you? In the email I wrote to you all, I kind of explained the hum tone as a premise; I felt like I was trying to shape the thoughtfulness, wanting that thoughtfulness to be there in the giving of this audio but it didn't matter in what format or what it was actually, just that this sort of attentiveness was carried through. I asked everyone to send me audio snippets from wherever. Anyone could find a bunch of audio files but that wasn't interesting to me; what was interesting to me was the possibility of what you all would present and that kind of inclusion. Whether you actually recognize what you heard or not, I was really curious about what could be extrapolated from that. As Tom was asking you guys to do something and not knowing what you would come up with, I was being thoughtful in the same way. I think the repetition in these acts generates something that I could not have anticipated.

C: When we first met and you told us about the kind of sound projects you had done previously, the content was a lot more charged. It was political in some cases - specifically the sounds from the LA riots - and personal in other cases. What changes in the performance when the content is "lighter"?

KB: It's actually really refreshing to me. I think that was maybe the prompt in the email. There are these levels of sensitivity carried through everything. I pick the tracks and have all the control, but even with the riots,

I had interest in wanting to hear recorded content from the riots. By making that decision and not really knowing what else would happen, you kind of fall into the same thing where elements jump out at you and present themselves to you. How do I deal with that? How do I navigate this content? Then, it becomes important to me. I think maybe it's too full because there are these personal connections here, geographically, where we live, our friends, that can perhaps be embedded in the work without being totally explicit. The relationship we were able to have on a very sort of local level can be maintained. It doesn't mean that that's not important. I don't want to deny that in any way. I'm aware that it also shapes the work or the project because this wasn't something that you guys thought 'well we want that one (talking about the courtyard),' you were interested in developing something. If we're going to develop something than I'd like to think about it in as many possibilities.

C: I'm wondering what interests you more: the textured multitude or the single ion, you know, the origin. I do think that the origin is not getting lost, but being layered. It's literally what you do in your performances. Is your ultimate goal to erase that origin?

KB: No, I think that maybe I have a backwards way of thinking about that origin. I can investigate that origin. This is why I am so interested in the powers of 10 - thinking about this videos by Charles and Ray Eames - I talk about it so much now- but it's what we consider to be or what we may have thought to be the original and how it expands or how it gets smaller and smaller. How getting very close to something becomes very expansive and you can't really grasp what that is or it's so dense that you can't actually grasp what that is. I feel like that's what I'm trying to do. The sounds or the manipulation is fairly simple. I'm either stretching or slowing everything down. I had a drum teacher who would say 'if you could play really fast, you can do that as much as you want, but to really understand a note you have to be able to play it as slow as possible and maintain a rhythm'. If you can maintain a rhythm at a very, very, very slow tempo then you have a different understanding of time. You're not really realizing time when you're playing as fast as you can. So, I'm always trying to slow things down and I feel like maybe I have a better understanding; Or I get closer to what it actually is in a way; Then there is this other thing, this manipulation that I'm constantly doing, which is

breaking up all the sound files into partials. It's like an explosion, a nonabrasive explosion.

C: What is a partial?

KB: A partial is when you take the little parts that make up the larger thing. There is a software that I've been using called Spear that actually takes audio files and explodes them into these partials. Each partial holds a key and a frequency. You take all of your frequencies and you add them all up and then you have your song. It analyzes everything backwards so if you were to select certain frequencies you could then maybe hear what the track was originally, but it's only through these partials.

C: Would a partial be comparable to a pixel?

KB: Yeah, it would take you forever but if you were able to select all of these different pixels to be visible, but you didn't select ones that were right next to each other, this is sort of the same thing.

C: Do you think visually when you are utilizing sound?

KB: Well yeah, with the software I'm using there is a visual. But I don't always use software and it does always, at some point, come to a visual realm, where I can see the sound wave, and, in which case, I'll just turn the monitor off and listen.

C: Is there any reason for the laying out the wind chimes in this four-stringed linear format here in a triangular courtyard?

KB: It maps the architecture but it also maps the possibility of what we can do. We weren't allowed to hang from the adjacent building, so the triangle from corner to corner is the longest element.

C: You could have done one line.

KB: Yeah, I could have but I don't think that would have been interesting

C: So you were thinking about the volume of the space?

KB: Yeah, the volume of the space and also where the chimes are. Sonically, you can be here and not be able to hear that bamboo, but I'm sure when you're at the

door you can hear it. I'm sure that when people are in here, the flow and movement of changes. In wanting these different sounds to have a space - especially when perceiving all the wind chimes - they're so varied that some you don't want next to each other and others you do.

C: Aesthetically...?

KB: Aesthetically and sonically. That was something Erin and I talked about during the installation. The color of one or the height of another one - we wanted them to be at varying heights, so you can play with that - there's a tangibility to that.

C: Can we talk more about the wind chime? Do you think of a wind chime as being something meditative or soothing or spiritual? People then pull it so far away from that; The aestheticization of them, you know, they're really tacky!

KB: They're like characters, with personalities.

C: Right, you're sitting under one now that holds six different angels praying, handpainted, which is spiritual I suppose. And that fish! Is there anything about that aspect? Or did you just completely ignore the instruments.

KB: I do think about the fact that a lot of them came from the Midwest and that being interesting. There is this personalization of them. I don't know how many of them are actually hand made and perhaps a lot of them are manufactured. The fact that they appeal to a demographic and that you can get them at a flea market indigenous to that area.

C: They're passive. It takes something to move them or to provoke a noise. There is a design in place that is wonderful and yet nothing happens until the wind comes. With bells, in a social way- whether it's from church or it's an alarm or it's a warning, it's more aggressive. Wind chimes are so passive and pleasant which is why they're hanging on someone's porch or outside your window. You don't mind if you're hearing it off and on for however long, you wait for them to sort of act up. Similar to the collaging of the sound that we gave you, the sounds are found materials. Even though you made a call for the materials, they're still found not made. Do you think about the connectivity

of the work made here, you sound work and other sculptures too?

KB: Yeah, this is the first sound installation that I have done. I haven't made an art object that possesses sound, one you can go and see it and it's on view for however long. I don't really have a precedent for that.

C: I've been thinking about your sculptures, the motor, the gin. We've talked about manipulation, you manipulating raw material into this thing. There is an element that preexists and then you come in. Parallel with the wind chime, the thing exists, statically, not doing more than that. Your work, it seems, observes, and slows down things - whether that is the strange patina and massive size of this gin. How you recognize and freeze this moment, observe it in a natural state and see what it wants to do. I wonder if you have the same approach to these different objects, sounds and material?

KB: Well I do feel like I'm constantly thinking about material. I think about where material can be found. Every piece of material has gone through some kind of processing or has reached a certain point to where we then find it and work with it. So then thinking about what is raw material is really only raw by comparison, relatively speaking. I'm trying to suspend that and then think: these wind chimes are a certain material. They have a history and have gone to different places. I feel like I have to deal with whatever that is - maybe its because all of this history is already embedded in the material, I don't necessarily have to add more shit on. Beyond what the thing is, in that of itself and its context, who is seeing it? Where it is located?

C: It seems like there is a social history to these things: the found sound, it's us, or even the riots, these wind chimes, as you were saying, you could have made chimes from steel tubing. We would have come in and you would have had 30 steel tubes hanging and that is a much more formal piece. It wouldn't be about this colloquial thing that exists in the world. Same for the social history of the gin or other things. You could make cast metal objects, instead of finding this one or framing that one as you do.

KB: I remember talking about making wind chimes and that being an interest but then I feel like this way presented many more questions. Instead of me having

control over whatever it is, what I am learning or realizing more and more is that we would know too much as opposed to other questions and things I don't normally think about that much. I started this project, you guys sent an image of some crazy wind chimes, I opened it and started to think about all of the different wind chimes. There's one that my parents have in their house that I feel has just been there forever. But, it's inside. It's in the kitchen. It has a little baseball and a baseball bat and it's really tiny. I was thinking about that, the visual of that and the fact that it's inside so it doesn't really make any noise at all. It just sits there. That is a point of departure that I can continue to think about. In relation to my own work, in terms of where it comes from, it's sort of a point of origin, through these little objects